

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				V	- 1 \	
Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		$\bigcup \bigcup$	
	10/664,904		TANAKA ET AL.	V	• (٠.
	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Leonard R. Leo		3753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims				e merits i	is	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consider	ation.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	• •			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examine	≱Г.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		tion.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/977,722</u> .						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>9</u>	4) 5) 722/03 . 6)		/ (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC			

Application/Control Number: 10/664,904

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a Continuation of serial no. 09/781,191, abandoned.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: only the first word of the claim should be capitalized. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kokubu in view of Oyama et al.

Kokubu discloses all the claimed limitations except notches.

Oyama et al discloses a stator comprising a plurality of laminated plates 12a having a circular opening at the center and notches 12b for the purpose of receiving support bars 13.

Since Kokubu and Oyama et al are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Oyama et al would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Kokubu.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Kokubu notches for the purpose of receiving support bars as recognized by Oyama et al.

Art Unit: 3753

Regarding claim 5, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Regarding claims 6-7, the shapes are considered to be obvious variant of the rectangular shaped notch 12b of Oyama et al.

Conclusion

This is a Continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/781,191. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is 703-308-2611. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 703-308-2696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Leonard R. Leo Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753

September 29, 2004